Reactions of $\alpha\beta$ -Enones with Diazo Compounds

Part 41)

Reaction Pathways from (Z)- and (E)- α , β -Enones with Dimethyl Diazomalonate

by Olcay Anaç*, Füsun Seyma Güngör, Çiĝdem Kahveci, and M. Şerif Cansever

Istanbul Technical University, Faculty of Sciences and Letters, Department of Chemistry, Maslak, TR-34469 Istanbul

In this study, (E)- and (Z)-enones carrying only a phenyl substituent at their $C(\beta)$ atom were treaced with dimethyl diazomalonate in the presence of (acetylacetonato)copper(II). According to the configuration of the starting enones, the products were dioxole or dihydrofuran derivatives, significant heterocycles in natural products.

Introduction. - Dioxole and dihydrofuran derivatives are among the mostsignificant heterocycles in natural products, and consequently a wide range of methods for their syntheses has been developed [2-8]. We previously reported the reactions of several α,β -enones and -enals with ethyl acetodiazoacetate and dimethyl diazomalonate (DMDM) in the presence of (acetylacetonato)copper(II) ([Cu(acac)₂]) [1][9][10]. In these reports, we noted that under mild conditions, dihydrofuran derivatives such as 3-5 should be formed predominantly, by a 1,5-electrocyclic ringclosing reaction of the related carbonyl ylides derived from properly chosen (E)enones existing mainly as s-cis conformers. Substituents at both the enone 1 or 2 and the diazocarbonyl compound that can stabilize the concerted transition state of the initially formed enone ylide by conjugation had a beneficial influence on the reaction rate. On the other hand, while steric inhibitions at the $C(\beta)$ atom (as in 1-(2-methylcyclopent-1en-1-yl)ethanone (6)) retarded the reactions, steric crowdings at the remaining part, i.e., at $C(\alpha)$ and at the carbonyl C-atom of the enone, such as in 2-methyl-1-phenylpent-1-en-3-one (2), provided a beneficial effect of preventing possible follow-up reactions (Scheme 1).

Furthermore, the corresponding ylides arising from (E)-enals (with a preference of s-trans conformation) yielded dioxole derivatives by following a different reaction route than those reported by Huisgen and March [8] (Scheme 1).

In this study, as a part of our continuous interest in this particular field, we worked on similar competing reactions of enones to yield dihydrofurans vs. furofurans. Furthermore, we also tried to find out what product patterns would give (Z)-enones and (E)-enones with s-trans conformation under similar conditions.

¹⁾ Part 3: [1].

Scheme 1. Reactions of α,β -Enones with Dimethyl Diazomalonate [1][9][10]

Results and Discussion. – In this study, all enones that were synthesized by traditional aldol condensation reaction had a phenyl substituent at their $C(\beta)$ atom to stabilize the possible concerted transition state of the initially formed enone ylide by conjugation (see compounds 2, 7, and 8; *Table 1*).

As the main feature of an aromatic-like transition state must be securing a close proximity between the two termini especially with respect to dihydrofuran formation, the synthesized enones were chosen to contain H-substituents at their $C(\beta)$ atoms.

Thus, 2-methyl-1-phenylpent-1-en-3-one (2) was obtained in 94% yield with a different method but with similar yields as those reported by $Bartoli\ et\ al.\ [12]\ [13]$; the (E)/(Z)-isomer mixture (76:24) was separated by column chromatography. The 5-methyl-1-phenylhex-1-en-3-one (8) was synthesized by an aldol condensation according to an old procedure reported by $Metayer\ [15]$; we now established the (E)/(Z) isomer ratio (98:2) and recorded the spectral data.

The 5,7-dimethyl-1-phenyloct-1-en-3-one (7) was also obtained as an (E)/(Z)-isomer mixture (95:5), the isomers could be enriched by column chromatography and were identified by spectral analyses.

Both isomers (E)- and (Z)-7 gave the same mass spectra. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of (E)-7 (CDCl₃) showed an AB pattern at δ 7.50 and 6.72 with ${}^3I_{(AB)}=16.2$ Hz for the olefinic H-atoms. The four H-atoms at C(4) and

Table 1. α,β -Conjugated Enones Synthesized by Aldol Condensation Reactions with Benzaldehyde

Starting material ^a)	Products	(E) [%]	(<i>Z</i>) [%]	Yield [%] ^b)
MeCH ₂ COCH ₂ Me	2, $(2E)/(2Z)$ -PhCH=C(Me)COEt	76 [1][13]	24 [1]	94
Me ₂ CHCH ₂ CH(Me)CH ₂ COMe [14]	7, $(7E)/(7Z)$ -PhCH=CHCOCH ₂ CH(Me)-CH ₂ CH(Me) ₂	95	5	85
(Me) ₂ CHCH ₂ COMe	8 , $(8E)/(8Z)$ -PhCH=CHCOCH ₂ CH(Me) ₂ [15]	98	2	75

^{a)} All starting materials were commercially available or were prepared by conventional methods. ^{b)} Yields of products isolated by column chromatography.

C(6) are all diastereotopic due to the stereogenic center C(5). One H-atom signal at δ 2.60 (H_a-C(4)) is a dd with 2J = 15.1 and 3J = 5.7 Hz and another one at δ 2.40 (H_b-C(4)) is also a dd with 2J = 15.1 and 3J = 8.1 Hz. Similarly H_a-C(6) is a ddd (2J = 12.5, 3J = 6.3, 6.0 Hz) at δ 1.17 and H_b-C(6) is also a ddd (2J = 12.5, 3J = 6.1, 5.9 Hz) at δ 1.08. There are 2 m at δ 2.17 (1 H) and 1.65 (1 H).

We compared the relative stabilities of s-cis/s-trans conformations of both (E)- and (Z)-enones 1, 2, 7, and 8 as well as of 6 using geometry-optimization studies which yielded E_{total} values. The latter were calculated by molecular mechanics with the program Desktop Molecular Modeller on a PC-windows platform $(Table\ 2)$ and furnished a tool to rationalize the product distribution of the catalytic reaction of these enones with DMDM.

Table 2. Calculated Relative Stabilities of Conformers of the Available and Synthesized Enones

Enone	Relative stabilities ^a)
1	(E)-1; s-cis $>$ (E) -1; s-trans
2	(E)-2; s-cis > (E) -2; s-trans > (Z) -2 ^b $)$
6	6 ; s-cis > 6 ; s-trans
7	(E)-7; s-cis $> (E)$ -7; s-trans $> (Z)$ -7 ^b $)$
8	(E)-8; s-cis > (E) -8; s-trans > (Z) -8 ^b $)$

^{a)} Based on the calculated ΔH^o values. ^{b)} The calculated enone torsion angles (Θ (C(β)-C(α)-O) are close to 90° in these cases.

The enones (Z)-2, 6, (E)-7, (Z)-7, and (E)-8) were treated with DMDM in the presence of $[Cu(acac)_2]$ as catalyst $(Scheme\ 2)$.

The (Z)-enones (Z)-2 and (Z)-7, and enone 6 (with an additional Me substituent at $C(2) = C(\beta)$) did not give any dihydrofuran derivatives, as expected from the prerequisite of both termini being closest to each other (C(1)) and C(5) in the transition state of *Scheme 1*) for an electrocyclic 1,5-ring closure reaction [1]; instead

dioxole derivatives 9-11, respectively, were formed (*Scheme* 2, a) under mild conditions.

To the contrary and in accordance with our rationalization from $Table\ 2$, the enones (E)-7 and (E)-8, being mainly present as s-cis conformers, yielded dihydrofuran derivatives 12 and 14, respectively, via conjugated-carbonyl ylides, besides some furofuran derivatives 13 and 15/16, respectively $(Scheme\ 2,b)$. Thus, these two enones (E)-7 and (E)-8 having only one alkyl group of long to medium length could also prevent to some extent the subsequent reaction step as compared to the behavior of benzalacetone, i.e., furofuran formation is also very sensitive to steric effects. This [3+2] cycloaddition reaction might also progress via an endo-approach mechanism (Figure).

Figure. endo Approach

Conclusions. – A recent report [16] describes a high-pressure-promoted [4+2] cycloaddition of enol ethers with 3-aryl-2-cyanoprop-2-enoates. Under our conditions

Scheme 2. Reactions of (Z)-2, 6, (E)-7, (Z)-7, and (E)-8 with Dimethyl Diazomalonate

a)

Ph Et
$$\frac{N_2C(CO_2Me)_2}{[Cu(acac)_2]}$$
 Ph Et $\frac{N_2C(CO_2Me)_2}{[Cu(acac)_2]}$ Ph O Det $\frac{N_2C(CO_2Me)_2}{[Cu(acac)_2]}$ 6

 $\frac{N_2C(CO_2Me)_2}{[Cu(acac)_2]}$ Ph O Det $\frac{N_2C(CO_2Me)_2}{[Cu(acac)_2]}$ Ph Det $\frac{N_2C(CO_2Me)_2}{[Cu(acac)_2]}$

Scheme 2 (cont.)

and with the proper choice of the starting enones analog, the [3+2] cycloaddition reaction to yield furofurans can easily be achieved without the need of pressure [17].

The obtained furofuran derivatives are promising starting compounds for further syntheses. This ring structure is an important motif in several compounds acting on the central nervous system. They may be easily hydrolyzed or alcoholyzed under acidic conditions to yield corresponding open acetals or carbonyl compounds. Even under our chromatographic conditions, these furofuran derivatives may easily add water to the enol C=C bond $(12 \rightarrow 13 \text{ or } 14 \rightarrow 15)$ and then may loose MeOH to give corresponding γ -lactone $(15 \rightarrow 16)$, see *Scheme* $(15 \rightarrow 16)$.

Experimental Part

General. Dimethyl diazomalonate (DMDM) was prepared according to literature procedures. CC = column chromatography. IR Spectra: $Jasco\ FT-IR-5300$ apparatus. NMR Spectra: Bruker apparatus; at 250 (1H) or 60 MHz (^{13}C); Me $_4$ Si as internal standard, δ in ppm, J in Hz; at 25°. GC/MS: Hewlett-Packard instrument with HP-1 capillary column (24 m, packed with cross-linked (phenylmethyl)siloxane); column conditions: isothermal at 150° for 7 min, then heating to 280° with 5°/min; 0.54 bar He; EI detector; t_R in min. MS: VG-Zapspec double-focusing spectrometer; EI at 70 eV; CI in isobutane.

Enones: General Procedure. Enones **2**, **7**, and **8** were prepared by aldol condensation reactions [18] between benzaldehyde and pentano-3-one, 4.6-dimethylheptan-2-one, and 4-methylpentan-2-one, respectively, with pyrrolidine as an amine catalyst. Results: *Table 1*.

Reaction of Enones with DMDM: General Procedure. A soln. of 1 equiv. of DMDM in benzene (4 mmol/ 1 ml) was added very slowly to a refluxing benzene soln. of 1.5 equiv. of the substrate (2 mmol/1 ml) and 0.007 equiv. of $[Cu(acac)_2]$ under N_2 . Consumption of DMDM was monitored by IR. After the complete disappearance of the band at 2130 cm⁻¹, the mixture was filtered (gravity), and the soln. was passes rapidly through a short column of neutral aluminium oxide to remove the highly colored impurities and the catalyst.

(E)-2-Methyl-1-phenylpent-1-en-3-one ((E)-2) [1][13]. GC/MS: (E)-2 as the major product (76%). The oily compound was purified by CC (neutral aluminium oxide, hexane/AcOEt 5:1); 65% of (E)-2. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.52 (br. s, PhCH); 7.40 – 7.14 (m, Ph); 2.83 (q, J = 7.2, CH₂(4)); 2.06 (s, Me – C(2)); 1.14 (t, J = 7.2, Me).

(*Z*)-2-Methyl-1-phenylpent-1-en-3-one ((*Z*)-2). GC/MS: (*Z*)-2 as the minor product (24%). The oily compound was purified by CC (neutral aluminium oxide, hexane/AcOEt 5:1): 15% of (*Z*)-2. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.08 (br. *s*, PhC*H*); 7.40 – 7.14 (*m*, Ph); 2.79 (*q*, *J* = 7.2, CH₂(4)); 2.02 (*s*, Me–C(2)); 1.12 (*t*, *J* = 7.2, Me). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 198.5 (C=O); 136.2; 135.1; 134.4; 126 – 128 (Ph); 32.6; 12.1; 18.6. CI-MS: 175 (38, [*M* + 1]⁺), 174 (93, *M*⁺), 159 (7), 145 (93), 117 (100), 91 (93), 77 (26), 51 (35). HR-MS: 174.2378 (*M*⁺, C₁₂H₁₅O⁺; calc.174.2390).

(E)-5,7-Dimethyl-1-phenyloct-1-en-3-one ((E)-7). Yield of (E)-7/(Z)-7 85%. GC/MS: (Z)-7 as the major product (95%); not isolated in pure form. Data from crude fraction. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.60 – 7.30 (m, Ph); 7.50 (d, J = 16.2, PhCH); 6.72 (d, J = 16.2, H–C(2)); 2.60 (dd, J = 15.1, 5.7, CH₂(4)); 2.40 (dd, J = 15.1, 8.1, CH₂(4)); 2.17 (m, H–C(5)); 1.17 (ddd, J = 12.5, 6.3, 6.0, CH₂(6)); 1.08 (ddd, J = 12.5, 6.1, 5.9, CH₂(6)); 1.65 (m, H–C(7)); 0.90 (d, J = 6.7, 2 Me); 0.87 (d, J = 6.54, Me(8)). 13 C-NMR (CDCl₃): 198.2 (C=O); 142.4 (C(1)); 135.0 – 126.0 (Ph, C(2)); 48.6; 45.5; 25.8; 22.9; 22.6; 19.2. GC/MS: t_R 14.47. EI-MS: 230 (4, M⁺), 173 (14), 146 (98), 131 (100), 171 (11), 103 (41), 91 (3), 77 (21). HR-MS: 230.3441 (M⁺, C₁₆H₂₂O⁺; calc. 230.3453).

(Z)-5,7-Dimethyl-1-phenyloct-1-en-3-one ((Z)-7). GC/MS: (Z)-7 as the minor product (5%); not isolated in pure form. Data from crude fraction. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.60 – 7.30 (m, Ph); 6.80 (d, J = 12.8, PhCH); 6.16 (d, J = 12.8, H–C(2)); 2.40 (dd, J = 15.2, 6.6, CH₂(4)); 2.20 (dd, J = 15.2, 8.2, CH₂(4)); 2.10 (m, H–C(5)); 1.60 (m, H–C(7)); 0.97 (m, CH₂(6)); 0.96 – 0.77 (m, 3 Me). GC/MS: t_R 11.25. EI-MS: 230 (9, M⁺), 173 (13), 146 (74), 131 (100), 117 (8), 103 (40), 91 (3), 77 (20).

(E)-5-Methyl-1-phenylhex-1-en-3-one ((E)-8). GC/MS: (E)-8 as the major product (98%); not isolated in pure form. Data from crude fraction. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.56 (d, J = 16.1, H – C(1)); 7.40 – 7.30 (m, Ph); 6.76 (d, J = 16.3, H – C(2)); 2.55 (d, J = 7, CH₂); 2.27 (m, CH); 1 (d, J = 6.7, 2 Me). 13 C-NMR (CDCl₃): 199.1 (C=O); 143.2; (C(1)); 135.0 – 126.0 (Ph, C(2)); 50.4; 22.4; 21.8. GC/MS: t_R 13.3. EI-MS: 188 (39, M⁺), 146 (36), 131 (100), 103 (56), 77 (27). HR-MS: 188.2628 (M⁺, C_{13} H₁₆O+; calc. 188.2655).

(*Z*)-5-*Methyl-1-phenylhex-1-en-3-one* ((*Z*)-8). GC/MS: (*Z*)-8 as the minor product (2%); not isolated in pure form. Data from crude fraction. GC/MS: t_R 11.6 min. EI-MS: 188 (27, M^+), 173 (2), 146 (13), 131 (100), 103 (37), 91 (2), 77 (16), 51 (4).

Methyl 2-Ethyl-5-methoxy-2-(1'-methyl-2'-phenylethenyl)-1,3-dioxole-4-carboxylate (9). GC/MS: 9 as the main product (85%). The compound was purified by CC (neutral aluminium oxide, hexane/AcOEt 5:1): 68% of 9. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.25 − 6.99 (m, Ph); 6.43 (br. s, H − C(1)); 3.80 (s, COOMe); 3.79 (s, MeO); 2.16 (d, J = 1.8, Me − C(2')); 1.74 − 1.65 (m, MeC H_2); 0.91 (t, J = 7.3, MeC H_2). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 160 (CO₂Me); 142.1 (C(5)); 137.2 (Ph − C(2')); 129.2, 128.5, 126.8, 126.5 (Ph); 129.0 (C(2')); 106.2 (C(2)); 95.1 (C(4)); 53.2 (CO₂Me); 52.8 (MeO); 26.1 (MeC H_2); 20.7 (Me − C(1')); 9.9 (MeC H_2). GC/MS: t_R 18.5. EI-MS: 304 (2, M⁺), 275 (32), 243 (25), 185 (100), 171 (22), 157 (27), 141 (26), 128 (85), 115 (60), 91 (10), 77 (10), 59 (60). HR-MS: 304.3362 (M⁺, C₁₇H₂₀O $_5$ ⁺; calc. 304.3377).

Methyl 5-Methoxy-2-methyl-2-(2'-methylcyclopent-1-en-1-yl)-1,3-dioxole-4-carboxylate (10). GC/MS: 10 as the main product (86%). The oily compound was purified by CC (neutral aluminium oxide, CCl₄/AcOEt 10:1): 72% of 10. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 3.69 (*s*, COOMe); 3.42 (*s*, MeO); 2.18 (*s*, Me-C(2)); 2.45–2.61 (*m*, other H);

1.73 (s, Me-C(2')). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 161 (COOMe); 158.2 (C(5)); 144.5 (C(1')); 127 (C(2')); 85.6 (C(2)); 82.3 (C(4)); 51.1 (COOMe); 43.4 (MeO); 32.4 (C(3')); 25.5 (Me-C(2)); 21.7 (C(5')); 12.9 (Me-C(2')); 7.67 (C(4')). GC/MS: t_R 13.96. CI-MS: 255 (5, [M + 1] $^+$), 224 (5), 195 (17), 108 (75), 145 (100), 81 (56), 59 (15). HR-MS: 254.2772 (M $^+$, $C_{13}H_{15}O_5^+$; calc. 254.2790).

Methyl-2-(2,4-Dimethylpentyl)-5-methoxy-2-(2'-phenylethenyl)-1,3-dioxole-4-carboxylate (11). GC/MS: 11 present to 4% in the reaction mixture; not isolated in pure form. Data from crude fraction. GC/MS: t_R 18.5. EI-MS: 360 (6, M^+), 300 (3), 276 (33), 232 (35), 189 (80), 161 (100), 115 (31), 102 (64), 91 (14), 76 (8), 59 (28).

Dimethyl 5-(2,4-Dimethylpentyl)-2,3-dihydro-3-phenylfuran-2,2-dicarboxylate (12). GC/MS: 12 as the main product (70%). The compound was purified by CC (silica gel; hexane/AcOEt 10:1): 52% of 12. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.27 − 7.20 (m, Ph); 5.30 (d, J = 3, H − C(3)); 4.70 (dd, J = 3, H − C(4)); 3.14 (s, 2 MeO); 2.20 (d, J = 7.2, CH₂−C(5)); 1.90 (m, H − C(4')); 1.70 (m, H − C(2')); 1.20 (m, CH₂); 1.10 (d, J = 6.7, Me − C(2')); 1.00 (d, J = 6.6, 2 Me). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 168.5; 168.1; 161.7; 142.5; 127.6; 126.2; 124.5; 96.2; 91.3; 45.8; 45.2; 27.3; 23.3; 22.7; 20.3. GC/MS: t_R 21.27. EI-MS: 360 (9, M⁺), 302 (26), 269 (32), 229 (100), 214 (51), 212 (85), 184 (33), 157 (31), 128 (39), 115 (18). HR-MS: 360.4427 (M⁺, C₂₁H₂₈O₅⁺; calc. 360.4440).

6aβ-(2',4'-Dimethylpentyl-2,3,3aβ,4,5,6a-hexahydro-5-hydroxy-5-methoxy-3-phenylfuro[2,3-b]furan-2,2,4-tricarboxylate (13). GC/MS: 13 as the minor product (20%). This compound was purified by CC (silica gel; hexane/AcOEt 10:1): 12% of 13. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.39 – 7.27 (m, Ph); 4.52 (br. s, H–C(3)); 4.42 (D₂O exchange); 3.85 (s, COOMe); 3.75 (s, COOMe); 3.83 (s, COOMe); 3.18 – 3.10 (m, other H); 3.10 (s, MeO); 1.39 (d, J = 7.2, CH₂–C(6a)); 1.23 (m, CH₂); 1.08 (m, 2 CH); 1.09 (s, 3 Me). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 175.3; 172.1; 130.8; 128.7; 127.9; 125.2; 107.5; 93.2; 90.1; 51.2; 50.8; 49.9; 47.2; 44.9; 43.9; 42.0; 34.0; 29.3; 26.2; 22.6; 21.0. GC/MS: t_R 26.7. EI-MS: 508 (5, M⁺), 433 (13), 385 (100), 341 (13), 305 (31), 274 (20), 242 (28), 231 (20), 204 (15), 157 (26), 121 (13), 59 (8). HR-MS: 508.548 (M⁺, C₂₆H₃₆O⁺₁₀; calc. 508.558).

Dimethyl 2,3-Dihydro-5-(2-methylpropyl)-3-phenylfuran-2,2-dicarboxylate (14). GC/MS: 14 as the major product (65%). The compound was purified by CC (silica gel, CCl₄/acetone 10:1): 55% of 14. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.40 – 7.30 (m, Ph); 5.02 (d, J = 2.6, H – C(3)); 4.80 (dt, J = 2.6, 1.1, H – C(4)); 3.84 (s, COOMe); 3.80 (s, COOMe); 2.08 – 2.03 (m, CH₂CH); 0.94 (d, J = 6.57, 2 Me). ¹³C-NMR: 173.2; 171.4; 159.3; 145.1; 129.2; 127.5; 123.7; 96.5; 91.8; 52.1; 50.7; 42.5; 23.0; 18.3. GC/MS: t_R 13.7. EI-MS: 318 (31, M⁺), 303 (100), 259 (10), 243 (14), 221 (9), 189 (13), 155 (6), 129 (10), 115 (15), 83 (9), 69 (60), 59 (6). HR-MS: 318.3632 (M⁺, C₂₆H₃₆O⁺₁₆; calc. 318.3643).

Trimethyl 2,3,3aβ,4,5,6a-Hexahydro-5-hydroxy-5-methoxy-6aβ-(2'-methylpropyl)-3-phenylfuro[2,3-b]furan-2,2,4-tricarboxylate (15) and Trimethyl 2,3,3aβ,4,5,6a-Hexahydro-6aβ-(2'-methylpropyl)-5-oxo-3-phenylfuro[2,3-b]furan-2,2,4-tricarboxylate (16). GC/MS: 23% of 15 and 4% of 16. These compounds were purified by CC (silica gel, CCl₄/acetone 10:1). 17% of 15 and a minor amount of 16.

Data of **15**: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.50 – 7.26 (m, Ph); 5.70 (d, J = 9.3, H – C(4)); 4.88 (d, J = 9.3, H – C(3)); 4.18 (distorted t, J = 9.4, H – C(3a)); 3.86 (s, COOMe); 2.20 (D₂O exchange, OH – C(5)); 1.57 (m, CH₂CH); 0.60 (d, J = 6.2, Me); 0.34 (d, J = 6.2, Me). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 174.0; 173.2; 172.5; 150.3; 128.4; 125.6; 106.3; 93.7; 89.1; 50.5; 50.3; 49.9; 47.2; 44.4; 41.8; 34.0; 29.2; 22.9; 18.5. GC/MS: t_R 20.5. EI-MS: 466 (2, M⁺), 365 (2), 339 (2), 318 (7), 275 (9), 5.3 (26), 221 (73), 204 (98), 189 (100), 162(88), 147 (39), 115 (36), 85 (40), 59 (5).

Data of **16**: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.40 – 7.25 (m, Ph); 5.29 (br. s, H – C(3)); 4.56 (m, H – C(3)); 3.95 (m, H – C(3a)); 3.82 (s, COOMe); 3.80 (s, COOMe); 3.56 (s, COOMe); 1.24 (m, C H_2 CH); 0.75 (d, J = 0.68, Me); 0.22 (d, J = 6.7, Me). GC/MS: t_R 19.2. EI-MS: 434 (1, M⁺), 376 (1), 356 (3), 319 (2), 279 (16), 167 (37), 149 (100), 113 (8), 85 (5), 57 (17).

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Anaç, A. D. Özdemir, Ö. Sezer, Helv. Chim. Acta 2003, 86, 290.
- [2] T. L. B. Boivin, Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 3309.
- [3] D. L. Storm, T. A. Spencer, Tetrahedron Lett. 1967, 20, 1865.
- [4] T. A. Spencer, R. M. Villarica, D. L. Storm, T. D. Weaver, R. J. Friary, J. Posler, P. R. Shafer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 5497.
- [5] S. T. Murayama, T. A Spencer, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1969**, *51*, 4479.
- [6] M. Alt, G. Maas, Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 7435.
- [7] M. Alt, G. Maas, Chem. Ber. 1994, 127, 1537.
- [8] R. Huisgen, R. March, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4952.
- [9] O. Anaç, A. Daut, Liebigs Ann. Recl. 1997, 1249.

- [10] O. Anaç, A. D. Özdemir, H. Bormann, M. Somer, Z. Kristallogr. 2002, 217, 607.
- [11] R. Huisgen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1980, 19, 947.
- [12] T. Mukaiyama, Org. React. 1992, 28, 203.
- [13] G. Bartoli, M. C. Bellucci, M. Petrini, E. Marcantoni, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1791.
- [14] Guerbet, C.r, C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. II 1909, 1537.
- [15] M. Metayer, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1952, 71, 153.
- [16] R. W. M. Aben, R.Gelder, H. W. Scheeren, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 3126.
- [17] R. W. M. Aben, J. Goudriaan, J. M. M. Smits, J. W. Scheeren, Synthesis 1993, 37.
- [18] C. F. H. Allen, H. B. Rosener, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1927, 49, 2110.

Received August 11, 2003